ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

THE INSTITUTE OF NATIONAL PLANNING



- W1

Memo. No. 1254

A Social Accounting Matrix For Egypt

by

Dr. Osman M. Osman

Sept. 1979

This paper was presented on the

"Seventh International Conference on Input-Output Techniques: Changes in the Structure of the World Economy".

(Inusbruck, Austria, 9-13 April 1979).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Updating the input-output table for 1976
- 3. Structure of the social accounting matrix
- 4. Structure of the planning model and policy options
- 5. Summary
- 6. Bibliography
- 7. Tables.

1. Introduction:

This paper relied heavily on the ruslts of a larger research work on Multisector General Equilibrium Models for Egypt, which has been a phase of the project on Improved Methods of Macro economic and Sectoral Planning. The project was started in 1977 as part of the Cairo University /MIT technological Planning program. The author of the present monograph is a member of the project team. The results of the research undertaken have not been published elsewhere. Only a draft has been made available for purposes of comments and discussion. The leaders of the project are Professor R.S.Eckaus of MIT, and Professor Amr Mohie Eldin of Cairo University. Neither of them nor any of the project team members bears any responsibility for the form of the present paper.

The present paper is devoted to sketching how a social accounting matrix (SAM) can provide a useful vehicle for organizing the data. This helps in designing an analytical scheme that can fit the available data, and give policy-relevant information. Thus, a general equilibrium model (GEM) has been built around the SAM. The requisites lead us to set up the data for the SAM around the Leontief type of an input-output table. Section 2 outlines the operations that were carried out to update an I/O table for 1976 SAM. Section 3 then describes the structure of the SAM for Egypt, and section 4 introduces the logic of constructing GEM using the SAM.

Yet, the GEM models and the data which they embody, represent a major potential advance for economic policymaking for Egypt. Some of the data have never before been estimated for Egypt and all of it has never before been organized in a consistent Social Accounting Matrix. Nor has an explicit model structure of this type ever been constructed for Egypt and solutions computed. However, further improvement and expansion of this work is quite persistent and fruitful.

2. Updating The Input-Output Table for 1976:

The 12x12 Input-Output table for 1976 was based on the 1970/71 27x27 Input-Output table. It represents an aggregation of the latter table and then was transformed to fit 1976 final demand vector. The 1970/71 Input-Output table was constructed according to the rows method and not by columns. This methodology was adopted because estimatation of the I/O table depends on the data available from estimates of commodity balances of physical production.

In order to make the 27x27 1970/71 Input-Output consistent with the 12 sector social accounting matrix and the model used, three operations were carried out.

1. The restructuring of the 1970/71 Input-Output table to transform it into a 12x12 table.

This needed disaggregation of certain sectors and the aggregation of others to fit the new sectoral classification. It was also necessary to take into account types of production activities which had been created after 1970/71, such as the new steel complex, the aluminum complex and the reopening of the Suez Canal.

2. The transformation of values of the flows in 1970/71 table to 1976 prices by using index numbers of production.

The rows were multiplied by index number of prices and the columns by index number of quantities and the consistency of the results were checked.

3. Adjustment of the new 12x12 table at 1976 prices to be consistent with 1976 final demand vector as obtained from actual national income accounts. This was carried out through the R.A.S. method.

人名格尔克 医海绵炎 海绵管

ing the contract of the contra

3. Structure of the Social Accounting Matrix

The structure of the matrix that we used is set out schematically at the end of this note. It is composed of 40 rows and 40 columns. In the north western block of the matrix (Block No. I) is the interindustry matrix, composed of twelve sectors which represent an aggregation of the original 27X27 input-output table. These twelve sectors are:

Section 1985 to the section of the s

1. Staple food.

2. Non-staple food

3. Cotton

4. Other agriculture

Tood processing industries

6. Textile industries

7. Other industries

8. Construction

91. Crude oil & products

10. Transport & Communication

11. Housing services

12. Other services

The final private consumption demands in block two (columns 15-20 and 21) are assumed to come from six socioeconomic classes. There are three income classes distinguished for urban and rural consumers separately with income recipients divided among the lowest 60%, the middle 30%, and the top 10%, of the household distribution in each case. However it should be noted that "rural" in this distinction of income classes means just the agricultural population and agricultural incomes. The final demands of the government sector were subdivided into three categories (columns 22 to 24); the public sector, conventional government, and the government trading sector. These categories made it possible to distinguish quite different types of activities. The special emphasis on

\$12223.000

need bea

.

다 (편)

. 1 851

government trading sector is justified because through that sector the activities of the general supply authority are carried out by means of which basic consumer necessities are provided to the household sector in the ration shops (consumer cooperative and where subsidies are incurred.

The final demands also include exports (column 25,26, & 27), which cover the government trade exports, a price differential realized on government trade exports and other exports. The next component of final demand is capital formation or final investment demands(columns 24 to 38) which is divided into private investment, the investment corresponding to the three sectors of the government and foreign investment. However, in the present matrix, due to the unavailability of the necessary data, all investments are aggregated into a single category of total investment (column 40).

The last final demand vector is inventory investment or the change in stocks (DTS) in column 30.

Columns from 28 to 33 represent import tariffs, indirect taxes, direct taxes, and transfers. There are four rows that correspond to these columns. The final column, 40, is gross production of the sectors concerned.

After the rows for each of the first twelve producing sectors, row 13 of Block I, the inter-industry flow matrix, represents the total inputs into the 12 sectors.

Row 14 represents gross value added of the twelve sectors concerned. It is disaggregated in rows 21 and 22. Row 21, though "household value added", actually represents the gross income of the household sector. Thus, this row includes both value added in the private sector and wages generated in the government and the public sectors. Row 22 then represents just the surplus of public sector enterprise. Part of it is retained by the public sector, the other is transferred to the government. However, we treat it as one unit in row 22 which corresponds to column 22 of the SAM.

Corresponding to the columns 15 to 20 which represent household consumption of the six socioeconomic classes, rows 15 to 21 represent, the gross income of the households from each sector distributed among the six socioeconomic groups. Added to that in row 22 under column 26 there are remittances of Egyptians abroad which are treated here as a household export. This is also distributed among the five income classes and added to the gross income which appears in column 41 corresponding to rows 15 to 2.

Rows 23 and 24 represent the two rows corresponding to columns 23 and 24. Row 23 is conventional government revenue derived from import tariffs, indirect taxes, direct taxes and other revenues. The

government trade row, 24, represents revenue from sales of intermediate goods by the government to producing sectors and sales directly to the household sector, box 24, 21, which is again distributed among the six socioeconomic classes. Row 24 also includes government direct sales abroad, i.e., government exports.

Row 23 and column 23 must balance and the balancing item is government deficit which appears in the box in row 36 column 23. An analogous balancing must occur with government trade purchases in column 24 and government trade sales in row 24: the balancing item in this case is government trade deficit, in the box in column 37 and row 24.

Row 27, represents total imports which are subdivided into rows 25 and 26. Row 25 represents government trade imports which are imports directly by the government. Imports in this row corresponding to columns 1 to,12 represent intermediate imports for the twelve sectors. They are treated here as non-competitive imports, i.e., as if none of them are producible domestically and so are not competitive with domestic production. There is also government trade imports directly to the household sector in row 24, column 21 which is again divided among the six income classes.

The second type of imports in row 26 are "other imports". These include: first, both public sector and household imports - imports in the row corresponding to columns 1 to 12 represent intermediate goods; second, direct imports to the household sector in the box in row 26,

column 21, which is again divided among the six income classes as part of their expenditure. Rows 28 to 32 represent types of government revenues. Thus, row 28 shows import tariffs paid by each of the twelve production sectors and tariffs paid by the household sector on its own imports. Row 29 contains indirect taxes considered as part of government revenues. These are also divided among the 12 production sectors. Column 21 in row 29 shows indirect taxes paid by the household sector on its own expenditure. This also has been divided among the six income classes.

Row 30 contains government subsidies. These go either to the production sectors which appear in the row corresponding to columns 1 to 12th, or are paid directly to household sector. These affect the prices of the commodities sold directly to the household. The effect appears in row 30, column 21. This last item is again divided among the six socioeconomic classes in row 30, columns 15 to 20.

Row 31 represents government transfers considered from the revenue side and not the expenditure side. This includes the net contribution by households to the social security and pension funds in row
31, column 21. This item is again divided among the six income classes
in row 31, columns 15 to 20. Row 32 represents direct taxes as revenue
to the government. These include: Direct taxes paid by the production
sectors 1.to 12, as, for example, the corporate tax; and direct taxes
paid directly by the household sector, like wage tax, land tax etc.

For example, subsidies for the first four sectors are subsidies on fertilizers and pesticides, in sector five subsidies are on wheat flour and other items, etc.

The latter appears in line 32 column 21. The last item is again divided among the six income classes, corresponding to columns from 15 to 29 in row 32.

Row 34 shows private savings which appear as figure corresponding to that row under column 21. This is divided among the six income classes. It appears as a talancing item between household income and household expenditure. Thus, the totals of rows 15 to 20 which represent the income accruing to the six income classes must balance with the totals of columns 15 to 20 which represent their expenditure. The balancing item is private savings whether positive or negative.

Row 35 represents government & public sector savings which is the surplus realized in government and public sector enterprises. Row 36 shows the deficit of conventional government which is the balancing item between conventional government revenue, and conventional government revenue, and conventional government. Row 37 shows government trade deficit which is again the balancing item between sales and purchases. Row 38 shows foreign savings which represent the balancing item between total exports in column 27, and total imports, row 27.

Line 39 shows total savings which balances with the sum of column 40 of total investment. Total savings equals private savings plus government and public sector savings minus government conventional deficit minus government trade deficit plus foreign finance.

Row 41 shows gross production which corresponds to column 41 for the 12 sectors. Data in row 41, from columns 1-12, could be arrived at in this way. Gross production equals total inputs plus value added plus imports plus import tariffs plus indirect taxes minus subsidies.

4. Structure of the Planning Model and Policy Options:

. .

Given the data layout in the SAM, it is straight forward to construct a general equilibrium model around them. Table 1 gives the equations, with variables and parameter names explained in tables 2-3.

The model in its various versions is a simplified multisector, static, general equilibrium model (GEM). In the different versions it achieves macroeconomic consistency, identifies descrepancies in resource demands and availabilities as well, and also adjusts resource prices and uses to resource availabilities. The GEM is useful for simple macroeconomic effective demand analysis and for the study of the macroeconomic and some limited microeconomic effects of expenditure, export and import and tax and subsidy policies affecting prices and factor returns and distributional issues.

The GEMS are not well suited, however, for the exploration of the growth implications of alternative resource allocations and investment policies, for which additional models will be developed.

The devising of the alternative policies to be tested and the comparison and analysis of the resulting solutions is as essential a part of the use of the models as the calculations which are called for by the formal structure of the model. The Egyptian economy is widely regarded as characterized by a variety of goods and factor price distortions created by market imperfections and government interventions

SOCIAL	acco!	INTI	NG M	ATRI	X FO	R EG	YPT		THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS OF THE S				£1-12)	-15:	15.	16.	13.	11.	13.11.
		-	-	6	Charles and a second	15	24 8		12	57	A. H	6 6 7	13.0.11	1141.	Hrb 'Us	rb 'c		Rur	Mur
contraction of	E. S.	F. S.	1007	7.50	200	Text The	o cine	Sand I	330	the part	6	Lyc Lyc	NICAM	C. M. P.	6:70 3	3.7.	102	657:	3.70
Salva Bring	E-APLE	o D	Netto	子子	J. C	五元 1	6	meto	是是	4	G	8 9	-	-			5.3		
23	1	-	1	1 2	79 6233'	- 1;		-		3611!	- 1	10 18250	238	217	22.0	14.000		114.0	1
1- Scaple Ford	166727	37.25629 0	0.2093			054223	18168	- !	- 106	61618	- ;	17.61671	75	160	1.6	0.3	0.4		0.6
1. mas staple Food	- 1	1 - 10	240303	- 1:	53937 73	13 09589 22	20419	- !	- 1	- !	- 1	0.27[45]		72	143		11.8	7.2	1
1. Cotton	CHSTINE	1 11 05030 9	9.92137 2	2 13755 5	5.95715 3	37 46799 1	26421			17575		93.21645		1232	324.5 2	275.1	140.4°		100.6
1. Food Processing and	- 1	39 82283	- !	- 140	ш.за:60 3	3.7/223 1	30918	11538 0	22504 0	226195 4	0.01569	9530505	373	512	73.9	97.2			
6- Textile Industry	1.85542	0 05167	3.29833 0	0 02107 1	141447 51	118 59253 1.	11 2 Per us	1.28370 9	00784 1	\$.51700	U. 16177	40 89 Octo	292	1100	95.6	99.1	60.5	45.1	1 23.3
7. Clies adostres	135269	015335	291691 0	021185	1.546341	17758- 0	0 9948 0	0 = 2 = 1 2	2 42416 9	9. 24610	566571	1 144582	37	599	21.9	18.4	9.4	11.5	
8. Construction			1.		= If	10 0/216 M	4 4 4 3 1 5 4 5	32 67 336 13	35.4.40 0			1		537			170.5		
9-Cabulatoba	1				ACCUES ?	101150 4	4 21767 7	V. 10. 11 .		1		3154110	10	152	16.7	37.4	55.3	4.4	
10 Transports Com.	1	1	1			B15241 8	842378 *	8 (3) 11 P	Matthew .	4.32.142			1	2150	209.3	213.2	152.7	78.1	63.1
11. Houseny	1	1647459	7 71460	0 63209	3194575	22 87321 M	115430	22 241	H.Bilb.			867	7196	-				_	1 311.9
The state of the s	1/10	1108	29	11	719:	487	201;	382	157	87:	: 8	869	2486	1011	111.		- Hiele	1	
13-Total hypuls		806	2.22	1,38	144	330	509	254	324	454	134	2049	6061						
14-Value Adde		300			H- F11	94 962 8	867931	36260	6.423	23.356	: 21.010					- 3 - 3	-		
154.6. Lang 60			0.0	1	1 45 177	. 63 25c	57 106	357/3	Y2'17	E4.703		-			13 14		THE REAL PROPERTY.		2 1 1
1 4.6 - FILLY 35		1	0.01	1 6:	64.255	126:7	19.105	95 361.	45.618	W 521	1	-	-	-					
174.4. 7.0 1.7.	-/ 35.HO	:- 1.510	0 90312	180 211	0.0	6.0	00	1 0.0 1	0.0	00	1 0.0	6.0							
Par- 41 32	- 31.2:7	7 242 565	\$8,532	11: 231	: 3 !	0.0	2.0	: 50	0.0	· c.ɔ	: 0.0							1	
2. Par . T 1"	7 miss	755		438	150	-	:74	170	75	193	125		-		-		1		
21 Lisanoziva		755		4-58	16	53	195	84	249	261	9	87	1113	-		·	-		
22 Gar 82 L 59 - 1		-		1	i	!	i			i	1	1	25		202	15.2	7.6	19.7	9 11.4
it. Gav. Tradi			1 -		25		1					96	552		50.7	45.7	31.7	47.1	11 24.
13. live Trade Top	12	37	10	8	391	4.7	1 635		66	. 51			656	1	15.5	30.4	1 643	3 11.5	.6 17.5
26 Octor hopeard	1: 7	22	11.	23	425		435		. 66	51		94	1208						1
22. TUTA - IMPIN	E) 19	59	16	25	166		: 100		: 42		:		326		709	22)	31.1	17	3 15.0
18 Imp. TARIF	F. 1	5		1	205	65	3.5		15	i		20			-63.3	-48.4	31.1		1.9 -9.8
30. Subsidies	1-1-12	-10	-10	8	2		-3		1 -8	-15		-12	- 340				3 113.0	0 -	-
31. Cov. Transh			1	1			i				:	100	223	1	1		7 70.7		7 15.1
31. Oir. TAXES				7	25	30	. 55		13			100	4						1
13. 528-30	,	i	-	1	1	-		-	1	:	-	1		1	-96.8	-78.7	1 201	.5 67.	1.7 173
M. Pris Savin	90	1							1		1								
35. Cov SPL. Sai	ing.					1			-										
16. Gav. Com. 04	4-1					į				1	1								
27. Car Tude Def	44					!	>	and the second			i	-	and the same of th					1	534L
in LINAMORE INSTALL	4.							-	1		1	1-40	1 1						
+o.T.T.ALSAVIA		1	1	1	i	-	Maria Carlo		1	1		1	1.705		1043	1126	130	5 72	1 53
41. GROSS. FROM		1268	235	466	1522	115	1392	636	609	1577	142	. 13118	1305						
		-	-	1			,	i	1	i		1			12773	634	7 2.13	33 101	191 50
Population (m							1				+				0.3001	2 6.31	11 0.37	57 0.40	1017 0.32
In me Shares	3					1	1				-								
Falinsh.m	5										-				81.47	7 05.50	c5 611.5	E14 71	112 155.5
Per Copte Incom															-			1.00	7 56 337
- ume prime	-											i			1200				
			-	-	-	and comments	market and the same												

,	-	•							nass, de					M .:	EE.
G,	G2	G3	1 2 5.	136	1 2 2	128. 24.	30. 31. 31. 35.	31 31	5. 36.	2.7 14	10	1/4		1 1.0	
	Constant	1 4	w 11.	Exports	Exports	IMPORT INO.	30. 31. 31. 35. 29. 20 GOV. DIR E TAANS. TAXES	34. 31	le tour-	Gar. FORSA.	19.	40.	4.1. EE GROSS		
secha co	133	Cow.	price Dir F.	100	Tody	TARA. TAKES	GOV. DIR Z				05	TAIN	PADDICTION		
34	135	OF	, 0 0	i i	1 - w		Ň ,	Tw.	Vs. INVS.	INAT			-	- Committee and and and	
	14	1 95	17		17	110000					16	16	455	1	
	60	, 28	4	49	53	1						1	1268	2.	
		100	55		55								235	3	1
		1		16	16								464	14	1
	50		44	26	26						10	10	1512	5	
	27	i .		109	109				3		46	49	385	15	
	268	1		89	19				360		40	400	1392	7	
	200			.,	1	The years			599			599	636	3	
	15	10.00	100	49	149						1	1	609	7	
	35		100										577	10	
	100			172	172	基本作品提出 6年							142	u	(
	4					I de la			100			192			-
	1013	42		171	171			-	122			112	3118	12	
	1571	265	176	681	857				1085		115	1198	11303	13	.1
		1 . !			310		276						6647	14	
				65.1				·					1043	19	1
				81.4				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				-	1126	16	
_				93.0			ATTENDED						P	17	
				25.42									721	13	
			1	20.46									535	17	-
			i	15.12									503	20	
			-	510	310								5233	21	-
							196	45 36	-			-	1414	72	
	1	1				477 469	371							13	
		758		119	119	21								14	
			i									1	768	25	
	. !	1							391			391	1172	24	
												371	1940	-7	
	5.0								91			31		23	
													490	29	
	146	368											-	30	
	1		i							1			296	31	
*		1												32	
			i										-	33	
+				-				A 2 2 2 2					334	34	
														35	
	192												1	36	
	393	700	1										- 589	37	1
	Ī	389	nil.		654								654	35	
	1				034								-	31	
- 3	93 :-	319			659								1680	43	4
1		012 17	16 11		-	+77 490 -	. 296 378		1567		113	1680			
1		-1-				2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2								-	
			i											-	
														1	340
	i	1													
1	- 1												1	1	
		1.													
															1
1			-		1	Sant order satisfactors			-						/
													1170	1	11:C.

if Desmallic.

through taxes and subsidies and direct regulation. These distortions, in turn, are often thought to be major barriers to the efficient use of intermediate and final products and primary resources although, at the same time, the interventions may offset market distortions and help achieve distributive goals. In fact there is a high priority for analysis which can deal with this set of issues. This is the basic vertue of the SAM used. One of the distinguishing features of the SAM is a relatively detailed accounting of government taxes and subsidies, tarrifs and government trading activities etc. On the other hand, to a considerable extent the model structure follows from the accounting presented in the Social Accounting Matrix and consists largely of the identities in that matrix.

The effects of removing subsidies, increasing direct taxes and import tarrifs, and other policy alternatives on output and price levels, budget deficit, and balance of payments have been computed and analysed.

5. Summary:

Data preparation and organization has always been recognized as a necessary prerequisite for development planning.

Both literature and practice point out that for planning purposes,
a social accounting matrix provides a useful vehicle for organizing the data.

This weeks the managed to

TO STATE OF THE WARRENCE WAS

Moreover, the structur of social accounting matrix (SAM) can take a detailed account of all government activities, taxes, subsdies, tarrifs and trading, which play a decisive role in determining output and price levels, and income distribution, etc. For the first time in Egypt a social accounting matrix was constructed for 1976. This necessitated updating the Input-Output table of 1970/71. Then, given the data layout in the SAM, it is straightforward to build a general equilibrium model (GEM) around them. This model has been used to test the macroeconomic impacts of some policy options (removing food subsidies, increasing taxes and tarrives, etc).

Bibliography

- 1- Pyatt. G. and Thorbecke, E., Planning Techniques for a Better Future, Geneva, I.L.O. Office, 1976.
- 2- Adelman, I., and Robinson, S., Income Distribution Policies in Developing Countries, A case study of Korea, Stanford Univ. Press, 1977.
- 3- McCarthy, F. and Tylor, L., Macro Food Policy Planning: A General Equilibrium Model for Pakistan, monograph, 1977.
- 4- Tylor, L., Macro Models for Developing Countries, monograph, MIT., 1977.

TABLE 1

EQUATIONS FOR THE EGYPTIAN GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS

Input-output relations

(1.1)
$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{ij} X_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{ik} + QGI_{i} + QG2_{i} + QG3_{i} + PD_{i} + E_{i} + INV_{i} + DST_{i}$$

$$i=1,...,12$$

Household consumption

(2.1)
$$\hat{S}_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{ik}^{B}_{i}$$
 $k=1,..., 6$ $i=1,..., 12$

(2.2)
$$QH_{ik} = \Theta_{ik} + \frac{\alpha ik}{B_i} [s_k - \hat{s}_k]$$
 $k=1,...,6$ $i=1,...,12$

Price determination

(3.1)
$$B_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{12} a_{ij}B_{i} + HP_{j} + HG_{j} + a_{24j}B_{j} + a_{25j}BI_{j}$$

 $+ a_{26j}BI_{j} + a_{28j}BI_{j} + a_{29j}B_{j} + a_{30j}B_{j}$
 $+ a_{32j}B_{j}$ $j=1,...,12$

Determination of value added by sector

(4.1)
$$VHP_j = WAGE_j^{j} KAP_j^{j} RENT_j^{j}$$
 $j=1,...,12$

(4.2)
$$SPL_{j} + SPK_{j} + SPT_{j} = 1$$
 $j=1,...,12$

(4.3)
$$HP_{i} = CH_{i} \cdot VHP_{i}$$
 $j=1,...,12$

(4.4)
$$HM_{1} = HP_{1} \cdot X_{1}$$
 $j=1,...,12$

(4.5)
$$VHG_{j} = WAGEG_{j}^{SGL_{j}} KAPG_{j}^{SBK_{j}}$$

(4.6) $SGL_{j} + SBK_{j} = 1$

(4.7) $HG_{j} = CG_{j} \cdot VHG_{j}$

(4.8) $GM_{j} = HG_{j} \cdot X_{j}$
 $j=1,...,12$

(5.1) YH_k =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} HM_{j}$$
 [SPL_j · SSPL_{jk} + SPK_j · SSPK_{jk} + SPT_j · SSPT_{jk}]

$$\frac{12}{\sum_{j=1}^{L} GM_{j}} [SGL_{j} \cdot SSGL_{k}] + RM \cdot SRM_{k}$$
k=1,..., 6

(5.2)
$$YD_k = [1-a_{30,k} - a_{31,k} - a_{32,k}] YH_k$$
 $k=1,..., 6$

(5.3)
$$YET_k = [1-a_{34,k} \ ^{YH}_k / ^{YD}_k] \ ^{YD}_k$$
 $k=1,..., 6$

(5.4)
$$YE_k = [1-(a_{24,k}BI + a_{25,k}BI + a_{29,k})] YH_{k/YET_k} YET_k$$
 $k=1,..., 6$

6. Government expenditure and revenue

(6.1) GlR =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{12} GM_j$$
 · SGK_j + $\sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{31,k}^{YH_k}$

(6.2) G2E =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{i=2}^{123} a_{i} - 0.284 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{30,j} \right]_{j}^{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{30k}^{YH}_{k}$$

(6.3)
$$G2R = \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{28,j} B_{j} X_{j} + 0.324 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k} B_{26}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 12 & 6 \\ + 0.957 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\Sigma} a_{29j}^{B}^{J}^{X}_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\Sigma} a_{29,k}^{YH}^{K}_{k} \right] \end{array}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{12} {}^{a}_{32j}{}^{B}_{j}{}^{X}_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{2} {}^{a}_{32k}{}^{YH}_{k}$$

(6.4) G3E =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} z_{i24}^{B_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{25j}^{BI} j_j^{X}$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{6} (a_{24k} + a_{25k}) YH_k$$

$$-0.716 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{30j} B_{j} X_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{30k} YH_{k} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{12} Z_{i,24} \cdot B_{i}$$

(6.5)
$$G3R = \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{25j}^{BI} j^{X} j + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{25k}^{YH} k + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{24k}^{YH} k$$

$$+ a_{24,5}^{BI} j^{X} j + \sum_{i=1}^{12} i, 25^{BE} i$$

$$+ 0.043 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{29,j}^{B} j^{X} j + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{29k}^{YH} k \right] \sum_{i=1}^{12} i 24^{i} \cdot BI$$

(6.6) PD =
$$-z_{1,25}^{(BE_1 - B_1)} - z_{2,25}^{(BE_2 - B_2)} - z_{3,25}^{(BE_3 - B_3)}$$

- $z_{9,25}^{(BE_9 - B_9)}$

7.1) EXP =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} z_{126}^{BE} + RM BE_{21} + z_{1,25}^{BE} + z_{2,25}^{BE} + z_{3,25}^{BE} + z_{9,25}^{BE}$$

(7.2)
$$IMP = \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{25j}^{BI} j_j^{X} j_j + \sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{25k}^{YH} k$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{12} a_{26j}^{BI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{BI} j_{26}^{E} + \sum_{j=1}^{26} a_{26j}^{BI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{EI} j_{26}^{E} + \sum_{j=1}^{26} a_{26j}^{BI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{EI} j_{26}^{E} + \sum_{j=1}^{26} a_{26j}^{EI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{EI} j_{26}^{EI} + \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{26j}^{EI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{EI} j_{26}^{EI} + \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{26j}^{EI} j_j^{X} j_j + 0.676 \sum_{k=1}^{6} QH_{26,k}^{EI} j_j^{EI} j$$

(8.1) SP =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{34k}^{YH}_{k}$$

(8.2) SG1
$$\equiv$$
 G1R - G1E

(8.3)
$$SG2 \equiv G2R - G2E$$

$$(8.4) \quad SGE \equiv G3R - G3E$$

8. Savings and investment (continued)

(8.5) SF
$$\equiv$$
 IMP - EXP

(8.6) SSS
$$\equiv$$
 SP + SG1 + SG2 + SG3 + SF

(8.7) INV =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} INV_i B_i + Z_{26,36}^{BI_{36}(1.232)}$$

(8.8) DST =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} Z_{i,39}^{B}$$

(8.9) INVT
$$\equiv$$
 INV + DST

$$(8.10)$$
 SSS = INVT

9. Resource demands

$$(9.1) LPi = SPLiHMi/WAGEi$$

(9.2)
$$KP_i = SPK_i HM_i / KAP_i$$

(9.3)
$$TP_i = SPT_i HM_i / RENT_i$$

(9.4)
$$LG_{i} = SGL_{i}GM_{i}/WAGEG_{i}$$

(9.5)
$$KG_i = SGK_i GM_i / KAPG_i$$

10. Resource constraints

(10.1)
$$EEL_{i} = LP_{i} - BL_{i}$$

(10.2)
$$EEK_i = LK_i - BK_i$$

(10.3) EET =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} LT_i - \sum_{i=1}^{4} BT_i$$

TABLE 2

Endogenous Variables

B; = price of output of i-th sector

DST - value of inventory investment

EEK, - excess demand for capital in i-th sector

EEL, - excess demand for labor in i-th sector

EET, - excess demand for land

GIR - revenues of public sector enterprise and net receipts from social security system

G2E - total expenditures of conventional government sector

G2R - total revenues of conventional government sector

G3E - total expenditures of government trading sector

G3R - total revenues of government trading sector

GM, - factor cost of government value added in sector j

HM, - factor cost of private household value added in sector j

HP - factor cost of household value added per physical unit of output
 of sector j

INV - value of total fixed investment

INVT - value of total investment

KG, - government demand for capital in i-th sector

 KP_{i} - private demand for capital in i-th sector

LG, - government demand for labor in i-th sector

LP, - private demand for labor in i-th sector

 QH_{ik} - consumption of i-th sector output by k-th income class

Endogenous Variables (continued)

 S_k - total consumption expenditure by k-th income class = YE_k

 S_{i} - value of subsistence consumption expenditure by k-th income class

SR - foreign savings

SGI - savings of first government sector

SG2 - savings of second government sector

SG3 - savings of third government sector

SP - private savings

SSS - total savings

TP - private demand for land in i-th sector

VHG - factor cost of a unit of government value added

VHP - factor cost of a unit of private value added

YD - gross income of k-th income class adjusted for subsidies, transfers and taxes

YE - YET adjusted for purchases from government trade sector and its imports and indirect taxes

 $YET_k - YD_k$ minus private saving of k-th income class = S_k

YH, - gross income of k-th income class

X, - gross output of sector i

X - shadow prices on constraints

TABLE 3

Exogenous Variables and Parameters

- a input-output coefficient in i-th row and j-th column
- a all coefficients written in this form in these equations are exogenously specified ratios of the entry in n-th row and n-th column to the sum of the n-th column of the SAM matrix
- marginal expenditure on sector i goods by income class k
- BE, export prices of output of i-th sector
- BE_{21} price of foreign exchange earned through remittances
- BI, import prices of goods of type i
- BK, supply of capital in i-th sector
- BL, supply of labor in i-th sector
- BT, supply of land in i-th sectors
- CG, amount of government value added per unit of output of sector j
- CH. amount of private value added accruing to households per unit of output of sector j
- DST_i deliveries of goods for inventory accumulation by the i-th sector
- E exports of the i-th sector
- INV, deliveries of fixed investment goods by the i-th sector
- KAPG returns to capital in government activity in sector j, exogenously specified in GEM-1 and GEM-2 and endogenous in GEM-3
- KAP returns to capital in private activity in sector j, exogenously specified in GEM-1 and GEM-2 and endogenous in GEM-3
- PD, price differentials on exports imposed by the government trading sector
- QGl exogenously specified use of i-th sector output by the first government sector which are the public enterprises
- QG2 exogenously specified use of i-th sector output by the second government sector, which is conventional government
- QG3 exogenously specified use of i-th sector output by the third government sector, which is government trading

Exogenous Variables and Parameters (continued)

- RENT; returns to land in private activity in sector j, exogenously specified in GEM-1 and GEM-2 and endogenous in GEM-3
- RM remittances by migrants
- θ ik quantity of the i-th sector goods consumed by cla-s k at subsistence income, \hat{S}_k
- SGL, share of labor in government value-added in j-th sector
- WAGEG; returns to labor in government activity in sector j, exogenously specified in GEM-1 and GEM-2 and endogenous in GEM-3
- SBK; share of capital in government value-added in j-th sector
- SPL, share of labor in private value added in j-th sector
- SPK, share of capital in private value-added in j-th sector
- SPT, share of land in private value-added in j-th sector
- SRM, share of k-th income class in remittances by migrants
- SSGL share of k-th income class in value-added by labor in j-th government sector
- SSPL_{jk} share of k-th income class in private value-added by labor in j-th
- SSPK share of k-th income class in private value-added by capital in j-th sector
- SSPT share of k-th income class in private value-added by land in j-th sector
- WAGE wages in private activity in sector j, exogenously specified in GEM-1 and GEM-2 and endogenous in GEM-3
- Z_{i23} expenditure by conventional government sector in outputs of various producing sectors
- Z₁₂₄ government trade sector purchases for domestic use
- Z_{i24} government trade sector imports
- Z_{i39} deliveries by i-th producing sector for inventory accumulation
- Z_{26,36} imports of investment goods